

Special Issue

Halophila siaochihkanensis (Hydrocharitaceae), a new species from Penghu County, Taiwan

Pi-Jen LIU^{1,#}, Chiao-Wen LIN^{2,3,#}, Hsing-Juh LIN^{4,#}, Wei-Jen LIN⁵, Li-Te HSIEH⁶, Cheng-Xuan CAI⁶, Ming-Yang HONG⁷, Pei-Luen LU^{6,*}

 Graduate Institute of Marine Biology, National Dong Hwa University, 2 Houwan Road, Checheng, Pingtung, 944401, Taiwan.
 Department of Marine Environment and Engineering, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. 3. The Center for Water Resources Studies, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. 4. Department of Life Sciences at National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan. 5. Department of Biological Resources, National Chiayi University, Chiayi, Taiwan. 6. Department of Life Science, National Taitung University, Taitung, Taiwan. 7. Penghu County PengNan High School, Penghu, Taiwan. #Co-first authors, *Corresponding author's email: peiluen@nttu.edu.tw

(Manuscript received 10 December 2024; Accepted 13 March 2025; Online published 27 March 2025)

ABSTRACT: *Halophila siaochihkanensis* P.-L. Lu & P.-J. Liu (Hydrocharitaceae) is described and illustrated as a new species from Penghu County, Taiwan. It is morphologically similar to *Halophila ovalis* (R. Br.) Hook. f. and *Halophila decipiens* Ostenf., but differs in flowering time, leaf hairs, and vegetative life history, having smaller leaves and fewer leaf veins, flowers with white to light yellowish brown tepals, shorter stamens, and fruits that are smaller, globose, and including several seeds in a fruit. This study explores the phylogenetic analysis of 11 species representing all four genera with two outgroup species using DNA Internal transcribed spacer (ITS). The dataset was analyzed using parsimony and maximum likelihood analysis. Results show that *Halophila siaochihkanensis* is monophyletic group. The species is morphologically and phylogenetically distinct from the remaining seagrass species in Taiwan and is recognized as a new species, *Halophila siaochihkanensis* P.-L. Lu & P.-J. Liu, and a new key for seagrass of Taiwan is made.

KEY WORDS: Hydrocharitaceae, Halophila decipiens, Halophila ovalis, phylogenetics, taxonomy.

INTRODUCTION

The genus Halophila Thouars belongs to the family Hydrocharitaceae Juss., and comprises at least 24 described species found across an extensive geographic range, spanning tropical and warm temperate coastlines throughout the Indo-West Pacific region (APG, 2009; Kuo, 2020; WFO, 2024; ColPlantA, 2025). In Taiwan, three species are recognized (Yang et al., 2002; Huang, 2003; Ke, 2004). Most species of this genus are important for ecosystem and biodiversity for marine organisms (Hemminga and Duarte, 2008). Beyond their ecological significance, seagrasses are gaining attention in sociopolitical discussions due to their potential to address the pressing climate and biodiversity crises (Unsworth et al., 2022). Seagrass meadows also contribute to human well-being by supporting fisheries, providing coastal protection, and enhancing water quality through filtration (Unsworth et al., 2022).

The leaf development process in plants of this genus differs from tht of most other plants. In typical plants, all parts of the leaf (blade, petiole) grow uniformly from the leaf bud. However, in *Halophila* species, the blade develops first, followed by the growth of the petiole (Ke 2004). The seagrass *Halophila* is the smallest in size among all known seagrasses, yet it is the most diverse group, accounting for over one-quarter of all recognized seagrass species (Den Hartog and Kuo, 2006).

Halophila plants are small and delicate, lacking the

long, strap-like leaf blades characteristic of other seagrasses, making them distinctly unique (Kuo 2020). This unusual morphology has historically complicated their taxonomic placement within monocotyledon classification systems (Den Hartog 1970). The growth locations of Halophila plants are dynamic, undergoing annual changes driven by their reproductive and dispersal strategies (Hemminga and Duarte, 2008). Following the fruiting period, the plants produce an abundance of seeds that either remain dormant at their original site or drift with water currents. These seeds germinate and establish new growth in the following spring, contributing to their shifting distribution (Ke, 2004). Moreover, Halophila plants exhibit gradual positional changes throughout the year. This movement is a result of stolons extending outward to colonize new areas while older leaves and stolon internodes wither and decay (Kuo, 2020). This natural displacement process is not confined to wild habitats; similar patterns are also observed in artificially cultivated environments, underscoring the genus's adaptability and unique growth behavior (Ke, 2004).

During our floristic exploration of Penghu County in 2024, we encountered an attractive plant with flowers in the intertidal zone of the sandy beach. We collected some individuals for making into specimens and extracted them into DNA library. Morphologically, they are similar to *Halophila ovalis* and *H. decipiens* due to their leaves. After a detailed examination of their morphological characteristics, their closely related species, and phylogenetics, we concluded



Fig. 1. Distribution of *Halophila siaochihkanensis*. The GPS of collection site, GPS: 23³39'19.0" N, 119³⁴'51.8"E (23.655780, 119.582693 in google map) in Penghu County, Taiwan.

Table 1: Genebank accession	number were	used in	this s	study.
-----------------------------	-------------	---------	--------	--------

Species name	Genebank accession number
Halophila minor	KX668191.1
Halophila decipiens	AF395672.1
Lemna trisulca	PQ437375.1
Lemna minor	OR907745.1
Thalassia hemprichii	PV150657
Zostera japonica	PV156330
Halodule pinifolia	PV156331
Halodule uninervis	PV156332
Halophila beccarii	PV156333
Halophila ovalis	PV156334
Halophila sp.	PV166220

this *Halophila spp.* from Watong, Penghu County, Taiwan, represent a species new to science, below described as *Halophila siaochihkanensis*. This new species is endemic to Taiwan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Taxon Sampling

The collection site of *Halophila siaochihkanensis* is shown in Figure 1. A total of 7 seagrass taxa were

examined in this study. One undescribed Halophila sp. from Watong and six described Halophila seagrass species native to Taiwan were collected. Sources of DNA for sequencing included freshly collected leaves, and the NCBI nucleotide database of Halophila minor (KX668191.1), Halophila decipiens (AF395672.1), Lemna trisulca (PQ437375.1), and Lemna minor (OR907745.1). The specimen source, voucher information, locality, and collection number are provided in the Taxonomic Treatment. All novel sequences generated for this study are deposited in GenBank (Table 1). The selection of two outgroup taxa (Lemna minor and Lemna trisulca) from the Lemnaceae was based on the results of previous studies and were shown to be closely allied to those seagrass genera (Waycott et al., 2007; Tuya et al., 2024).

DNA Extraction and Amplification

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 1.0 g of fresh or 0.2 g of silica gel-dried leaves using the CTAB method (Doyle and Doyle, 1987) with modification (Morden *et al.*1996). Or after grinding with liquid nitrogen, total genomic DNA was extracted by using the Gene-SpinTM Miniprep Purification Kit (PROTECH, Taipei, Taiwan),



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic trees result from maximum parsimony analysis (A.) and Maximum-likelihood analysis (B.) of the ITS dataset illustrating relationships of species among those seagrass genera, rooted with two closely related non-seagrass members of the Hydrocharitaceae (Tuya *et al.*, 2024). Numbers above the branches represent bootstrap values above 50%. *Halophila sp.* is *Halophila siaochihkanensis*.

and Qiagen DNeasy plant mini kits (Integrated bio, Hsinchu, Taiwan) following manufacturer specifications. DNA samples were accessioned into our Lab plant DNA library.

Species were amplified using standard primers found in the literature (Bogler and Simpson, 1996) based on position soybean 18S rDNA, 1762-1787 (AAGTCGTAACAAGGTTTCCGTAGGTG) and on rice 26S rDNA, position 52-67 (TTTCTTTTCCTCCGCT) with the following PCR conditions: 94°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2 min, plus a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Species were also amplified using modified ITS primers (ITS5a: 5'CCTTATCATTTAGAGGAAGGAG-3'; ITS4: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') found in the literature (Liu and Hsu, 2021). All amplifications were verified on 1.5% agarose gel.

The PCR products were prepared for sequencing using ExoSAP-IT PCR product cleanup protocol of incubation for 37C for 15 min followed by 80°C for 15 min. Samples were bidirectionally sequenced using each amplification primer at Mission Biotech Ltd (Taipei, Taiwan).

Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

All sequences were aligned initially in Muscle (Edgar, 2004) and then manually adjusted in MEGA 11 (Tamura *et al.*, 2021) following the guidelines of Kelchner (2000) to minimize indels. After the phylogenetic database was constructed, we checked which evolutionary model best fitted the sequences, also applying a partitioning scheme with the concatenated genes. The evolutionary models were tested in the ModelTest-NG package, implemented

in Cypress (Nei and Kumar 2000), limiting the models to those enabled in RAxML and taking the model that best fits the data according to the Akaike information criterion (AIC; Akaike 1974). We obtained that the applicable evolutionary models were the K2+G (Kimura 2parameter) for the complete alignment. We performed both maximum patrimony and maximum likelihood (ML) to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships. Maximum patrimony was implemented with MEGA 11 (Tamura et al., 2021). Statistical reliability of the MP tree was evaluated with rapid bootstrapping (1000 iterations) to obtain a MP bootstrap consensus tree with a support threshold \geq 50%. ML inference was implemented with RAxML 8.2.11 (Stamatakis, 2014) in Geneious. Statistical reliability of the ML tree was evaluated with rapid bootstrapping (1000 iterations) to obtain a ML bootstrap consensus tree with a support threshold $\geq 50\%$.

RESULTS

Parsimony Analysis

The Maximum Parsimony (MP) analysis was performed using the combined dataset of all studied genomic regions, yielding 1,953 equally parsimonious trees. The resulting strict consensus tree (Figure 2A) displays bootstrap percentages (BP) exceeding 50% along its branches, providing a measure of support for the inferred phylogenetic relationships.

Within the tree, *Halophila sp.*, identified as *Halophila siaochihkanensis*, is supported as a distinct monophyletic lineage relative to other genera, with a bootstrap value of 66%. This result highlights the unique evolutionary



trajectory of *H. siaochihkanensis* and suggests that it represents a discrete taxonomic entity within the genus.

Although the bootstrap support for the monophyly of *H. siaochihkanensis* is moderate, it still provides valuable insight into its phylogenetic placement. The result aligns with morphological observations and other molecular data, reinforcing its recognition as a new and distinct species. The moderate BP value may reflect challenges such as incomplete lineage sorting, limited resolution from the dataset, or the need for additional molecular markers to improve the robustness of the analysis.

The MP analysis also sheds light on the broader evolutionary relationships within the genus *Halophila*. It reveals a general structure consistent with the results from other phylogenetic methods, such as Maximum Likelihood (ML), though subtle differences in species positioning were noted. These findings underscore the complexity of evolutionary dynamics in *Halophila* and emphasize the importance of using complementary analytical methods to refine our understanding.

Maximum Likelihood Analysis

The Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis (Figure 2B) of the combined dataset generated a phylogenetic topology that closely resembles the MP (Maximum Parsimony) strict-consensus tree. While the overall relationships among taxa were consistent between the ML and MP analyses, some differences were observed in the positioning of certain species. Despite these variations, the overarching phylogenetic framework remained largely congruent between the two methods.

Notably, the ML analysis provided strong support for the monophyly of *Halophila siaochihkanensis*, which emerged as a distinct and well-supported lineage. This species was confirmed as a monophyletic group relative to other genera in the analysis, with a bootstrap percentage (BP) of 97%, indicating high confidence in its placement.

The strong bootstrap support underscores the robustness of the data and highlights the phylogenetic distinctiveness of Halophila siaochihkanensis. This finding aligns with previous morphological and molecular evidence that suggested its unique taxonomic status. Additionally, the similarity between ML and MP topologies strengthens the reliability of the phylogenetic conclusions, emphasizing that H. siaochihkanensis occupies a well-defined position within the broader phylogenetic framework of seagrasses. Such results have important implications for understanding the evolutionary relationships within the genus Halophila in Taiwan and contribute to a clearer delineation of species boundaries. Future studies could further refine these insights by incorporating larger datasets, additional molecular markers, and samples from broader geographic ranges to test the robustness of these findings across different analytical methods.

TAXONOMICAL TREATMENT

The identification key we developed is primarily based on the foundational work of Yang *et al.* (2002) and Ke (2004), which serve as critical references for this study. In addition to previous publications, we have extensively observed the habitats of these seagrass species across multiple locations over the years to develop this key, including the examination of historical specimens. These publications provided comprehensive descriptions and taxonomic insights that were instrumental in constructing a reliable framework for distinguishing species within the genus *Halophila*.

Yang et al. (2002) laid the groundwork by presenting detailed morphological traits, ecological characteristics, and diagnostic features for several seagrass species in Taiwan. Their work emphasized the significance of subtle morphological variations, such as leaf shape, vein patterns, and reproductive structures, which are pivotal for accurate species identification. Similarly, Ke (2004) contributed essential observations on the phenology, growth patterns, and ecological distribution of Halophila species, offering a robust dataset that complements the morphological details provided by Yang et al (2002). These insights helped refine the criteria used in our key, ensuring its applicability to a wide range of environmental and geographic contexts. By integrating the findings of these two seminal works, our key aims to provide a practical and precise tool for researchers and conservationists working on Taiwan Halophila and, especially for the new species Halophila siaochihkanensis. It incorporates morphological traits, reproductive features, phenology, and ecological adaptations, enabling accurate identification even in regions with overlapping species distributions. Additionally, the key is designed to be user-friendly, allowing for efficient identification in both field and laboratory settings.

Key to the species of seagrass in Taiwan

1. Leaves with blade and petiole with well differentiated.

- Leaves trinerved at base, lateral nerves absent. *Halophila beccarii* Leaves penninerved at base, lateral nerves present.
- 4. Leaf width less than 4 mm. Halophila siaochihkanensis
 4. Leaf width more than 5 mm. Halophila ovalis
- 1. Leaves ribbon-like or linear, petiole and blade similar

508

^{5.} Leaves not ligulate, parallel longitudinal nerves without fine cross nerves.



Characters	H. siaochihkanensis	H. ovalis	H. decipiens
Leaf blade	green or reddish, glabrous, elliptic	greenish to browny green, glabrous,	bright green, eliptic, puberulous on
		eliptic, oblong, or obovate	one or both surfaces
Leaf blade size	4.5–5.5mm in length,	9–20.2 mm in length,	10.0–22.0 mm in length,
	2.5–3.0 mm in width	4.3–8.5 mm in width	4.95–6.8 mm in width
pairs of cross-veinlets	5 to 8	12 to 18	6 to 9
Stamens	3	3	3
Anther size	2 mm in length	3.7 mm in length	0.8 mm in length
Stigmata	3	3	3
Stigmata size	2–2.5 cm in length	1.2–1.7 cm in length	4.8 mm in length
Flowering time	March to May	December, April to June,	July to August
		September to October	
Habitat (Water depth)	from 0 to 10 meters	from 0 to 10 meters	from 2 to 40 meters

Table 2. Morphological comparison of Halophila siaochihkanensis, H. ovalis and H. decipiens.

Halophila siaochihkanensis P.L. Lu & P.J. Liu, sp. nov. 小赤崁鹽草 Figs. 3, S1 & S2

2025

Type: Taiwan. Main island of Penghu. Watong (瓦硐), Baisha Township, Penghu County, Taiwan, 23 '39'19.0" N, 119 '34'51.8"E, 0 m, primaeval subtropical intertidal zone, sandy beach. Plants were collected on April 13, 2024 by *P-L. Lu and L.-T. Hsieh, P.-L. Lu TW1001*, deposit in the herbarium of National Museum of Natural Science, TNM (Holotype TNM). Isotypes: *TW1002* (TAI)

Diagnosis: Halophila siaochihkanensis is morphologically similar to Halophila ovalis (R. Br.) Hook. f. in having oval leaf morphology. However, H. siaochihkanensis can be clearly distinguished from H. ovalis by its small leaves and fewer than 9 pairs branching veins, flowers with pinkish color, smaller flower size, after fruiting, above vegetative parts completely disappearing (Table 2, Figure 3). Halophila siaochihkanensis is also morphologically similar to Halophila decipiens Ostenf in having oval leaf morphology. However, H. siaochihkanensis can be clearly distinguished from *H. decipiens* by its completely smooth blade, growing elevation above 2 m, small leaves and fewer than 9 pairs branching veins, flowers with pinkish color, smaller flower size, after fruiting, above vegetative parts completely disappearing (Table 2, Figure 3).

Description: Herb, dioecious, growing in sea water, slender and creeping rhizomes with roots emerging from each node, the internodes 3.5-18.0 mm and bear two leaves at each node, leaf scales oval with a transparent, membranous apex. Leaves simple and paired. Leaf blades, green or reddish, glabrous, elliptic, 4.5-5.5mm in length, 2.5-3.0 mm in width, margin rounded to obtuse apices, entire, broadly undulating, cross-veinlets 5-8 pairs, often branching. Stems subsessilis. Petiole white, slender, spathes elliptic, membranous, transparent, about 3 mm long, not keeled, and shaped like a boat, with acute apices. flowers Flower solitary, staminate with three membranous, cymbiform, transparent, tepals approximately 3 mm long, convex when mature and feature a longitudinal dark band. Anther basifixed, 2 mm.

Pistillate flowers, solitary and lack tepals. Ovary ovoid, 1.5–2.0 mm long, with marginal placentation, Styles 2.0 cm long, **Stigma** 3, 4.58mm in length.

Etymology: specific epithet is to commemorate the ancestral location. The suggested Chinese name is.

Note: The sediment consists of hard basalt at the bottom, with a layer of shallow to mid-depth sand above. The sand is a mixture of weathered rock fragments and shells, yet it is still classified as a fine sandy beach. The pH value is approximately 7.92-8.59 in winter and 8.47-9.64 in summer. The salinity is around 35 PSU. The dissolved oxygen is approximately 8.22-13.24 mg/L in winter 4.51-6.91mg/L in summer. The plant community in the intertidal zone is purely dominant by this seagrass species. This type of seagrass can be fully exposed during extreme low tides but tends to remain submerged most of the time. There are currently no sources of pollution, and an artificial port is located to the north. The seagrass grows at a certain distance from the artificial fishing port. The sea area is affected by the China Coastal Current, Kuroshio tributaries and South China Sea monsoon currents. Various shellfish, crabs, sea cucumbers, starfish, horseshoe crabs, pufferfish and other creatures are commonly found.

DISCUSSION

The new species of *H. siaochihkanensis*'s flowering season begins in late March and continues through April, with the fruiting period lasting approximately one month. Following this period, the vegetative parts of the plant die back but regenerate by late October, coinciding with the lower sea levels typical of autumn.

In 2024, the population of the newly described species *Halophila siaochihkanensis* in Watong is estimated to exceed 1,000 individuals, yet this species has not been previously documented in *Halophila* publications or specimens. Research indicates that hybridization among *Halophila* species is likely to occur frequently, and notable variations in the leaves of *Halophila ovalis* have been observed in Penghu County. However, many areas





Fig 3. Halophila siaochihkanensis P.-L. Lu & P.-J. Liu. The seven pictures indicate the habitat, seagrass bed, and their young/old leave. A. The yellow circle indicates the flowers. B. The morphology of the individual. C. The morphology of mature leaves. D. The morphology of young leaves E. The population. F. The nature habitat. G. The nature habitat.

in Penghu County still lack essential seagrass information. Given the small size of these plants and the limited morphological traits for reliable species identification, we strongly recommend that future molecular studies employ advanced sequencing techniques or develop improved genetic markers. Such methods should analyze multiple samples across the species' geographic range, particularly including specimens from the type locality, to ensure comprehensive and accurate assessments. Consequently, molecular studies and floristic explorations will be vital for understanding not only the coastal areas of Penghu County but also the evolution of Halophila and other seagrass species in Taiwan.

According to the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN), the description and publication of a new species rely primarily on morphological evidence and the designation of a type specimen. We have provided a well-documented specimen with flowers, which serves as the primary basis for our new species description.

We included H. minor samples from the Philippines in our phylogenetic analysis. Our molecular phylogenetic results remain consistent, showing that the described species does not cluster within the H. minor or H. ovalis-H. minor species complex. The phylogenetic tree clearly supports the distinction of our new species from H. minor and H. ovalis, reinforcing its recognition as a separate taxon. Given these findings, we believe that our study has sufficiently addressed the necessary comparisons, and that further emphasis on the H. ovalis - H. minor complex would not alter our main conclusions.

The unique evolutionary trajectory of Н. siaochihkanensis suggests that this species may be experiencing an 'evolutionary dead end.' While currently viable, its evolutionary pathway may limit its long-term adaptability to future environmental changes, potentially increasing its risk of extinction. This scenario is comparable to certain medical conditions in humans, where a terminal illness has no cure or a severe genetic defect predisposes an individual to future challenges. Although these situations are not mutually exclusive, they illustrate how H. siaochihkanensis may represent a lineage that, despite its distinctiveness, faces significant evolutionary constraints.



Further insights into this phenomenon would require a more comprehensive investigation into genome evolution, which is beyond the scope of this study. However, our findings provide a crucial foundation for future research on the evolutionary constraints of *H. siaochihkanensis* and its long-term viability in changing marine environments. While *H. siaochihkanensis* is expected to be endemic to Penghu County, it is at least endemic to Taiwan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

2025

We thank you all people had been involved in this research. Specially appreciate Station Chief of Marine Life Propogation Station, Penhgu County, Taiwan, Chih-Hung Ke's supporting in this research, and Mr. Meng-Chi Lee and Bo-Wei Liu's helping in the work. This research was funded by Fisheries Agency, Ministry of Agriculture (TW) grant No 113 -18.3.1-FA-02(1).

LITERATURE CITED

- Akaike, H. 1974 A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans. Autom. Control 19(6): 716–723.
- Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2009 An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 161(2): 105–121.
- Bogler, D.J., Simpson, B.B. 1996 Phylogeny of Agavaceae based on ITS rDNA sequence variation. Am. J. Bot. 83(9): 1225–1235.
- **ColPlantA** 2025 Useful Plants of Colombia. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Published on the Internet; https://colplanta.org/Retrieved 12 February 2025."
- Den Hartog, C. 1970 The Sea-Grasses of the World. Verh. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natururk. Sect. 2, 59, 1–275.
- Den Hartog, C., Kuo, J. 2006 Taxonomy and biogeography. In Larkum, A.W.D., Orth, R.J., Duarte, C.M. (eds.) Seagrasses: Biology, Ecology and Conservation. Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherland, pp. 1–23.
- **Doyle, J.J., Doyle, J.L.** 1987 A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf material. Phytochem. Bull. 19:11–15.
- Edgar, Robert C. 2004 MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput, Nucleic Acids Res. 32(5): 1792–1797

- Hemminga, M.A., Duarte, C.M. 2008 Seagrass Ecology. Cambridge University Press.
- Huang, T.C. (eds.) 2003 Flora of Taiwan 2nd editon. Editorial Committee of the Flora of Taiwan, Department of Botany, National Taiwan University, Taipei.
- Kelchner, S.A. 2000 The evolution of non-coding chloroplast DNA and its application in plant systematics. Ann. Missouri Bot. 87(4): 482–498.
- Ke, C.-J. 2004 A Study on the Taxonomy and Distribution of Seagrasses in Taiwan. Master's thesis, Institute of Biological Sciences, National Sun Yat-sen University.
- Kuo, J. 2020 Taxonomy of the genus *Halophila* Thouars (Hydocharitaceae): A review. Plants **9(12)**: 1732.
- Liu, S.Y.V., Hsu, C.H. 2021 Genetic analyses reveal fine-scale genetic structure between lagoon and open water spoon seagrass (*Halophila ovalis*) populations around Dongsha Island. Aquat. Bot. 174: 103421.
- Morden, C.W., Caraway, V., Motley, T.J. 1996 Development of a DNAlibrary for native Hawaiian plants. Pac. Sci. 50: 32–335.
- Nei, M., Kumar, S. 2000 Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York.
- **Stamatakis, A.** 2014 RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics **30(9)**: 1312–1313.
- Tamura, K., Stecher G., Kumar, S. 2021 MEGA 11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. Mol Biol. Evol. 38(7): 3022–3027.
- Tuya, F., Martínez-Pérez J., Fueyo, Á., Bosch, N.E. 2024 Strong phylogenetic signal and models of trait evolution evidence phylogenetic niche conservatism for seagrasses. J Ecol. 112(3): 446–460.
- Unsworth, R.K.F., Cullen-Unsworth, L.C., Jones, B.L.H., Lilley, R.J. 2002 The planetary role of seagrass conservation. Science 377(6606): 609–613
- Waycott, M., Procaccini, G., Les, D. H., Reusch, T. 2007 Seagrass evolution, ecology and conservation: A genetic perspective. In: Larkum, Anthony W.D., Orth, Robert J., and Duarte, Carlos M., (eds.) Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Springer. 25–50pp.
- WFO 2024 World Flora Online. Published on the Internet;
- http://www.worldfloraonline.org. Accessed on: 30 Nov 2024
- Yang, Y.-P., Fong, S.-C., Liu, H.-Y. 2002 Taxonomy and Distribution of Seagrasses in Taiwan. Taiwania 47(1): 54– 61.

Supplementary materials are available from Journal Website